



Contracting Authority:

European Commission

**EU National Resource Centre for Civil Society Organizations in
Serbia**

Guidelines for grant applicants

Budget line(s): BGUE-B2022-15.020101.01-C1-NEAR DELSRB

Reference:

EuropeAid/173790/DD/ACT/RS

Deadline for submission¹ of full application:

16/09/2022 at 17:00 (Brussels date and time)

(in order to convert to local time click [here](#)²)

¹ Online submission via PROSPECT is mandatory for this call for proposals (see section 2.2.2). In PROSPECT all dates and times are expressed in Brussels time. Applicants should note that the IT support is open Monday to Friday from 08:30 to 18:30 Brussels time (except during European Commission public holidays in Belgium as published in the Official Journal). Applicants should take note of the weekly maintenance hours mentioned in the PROSPECT user manual.

² An example of a time converter tool available: <http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/converter.html>.

NOTICE

The overall indicative amount made available under this call for proposals is EUR 1,300,000 to be financed under 2021 budget and an indicatively EUR 3,500,000 to be financed under 2022 budget and subject to the approval of the 2022 financing decision.

The awarding of grant contracts under this call for proposals is subject to the condition of the prior conclusion of a financing framework partnership agreement (FFPA). This does not modify the elements of the call for proposals (this will be the case, for instance, if the budget initially foreseen is different or if the timeframe, the nature or the condition of the implementation is altered).

If the precedent condition is not met the contracting authority will either abandon the procurement or cancel the award procedure without the candidates or applicants being entitled to claim any compensation.

This is an open call for proposals, where all documents are submitted together: concept note (Annex A.1- Grant application form - Concept note) and full application (Annex A.2 - Grant application form - Full application). In the first instance, only the concept notes will be evaluated. Thereafter, for the lead applicants who have been pre-selected, the full applications will be evaluated. After the evaluation of the full applications, an eligibility check will be performed for those which have been provisionally selected. Eligibility will be checked on the basis of the supporting documents requested by the contracting authority and the signed 'declaration by the lead applicant' sent together with the full application.

Online submission via PROSPECT

To apply to this call for proposals, organisations must register in PADOR and submit their application in PROSPECT (see section 2.2.2 of the guidelines). The aim of PROSPECT is to increase the efficiency of the management of the call for proposals and to offer a better service to civil society organisations through a new panel of functionalities such as the on-line submission and the possibility to follow up online the status of their application.

Preparation: Information session 19/07/2022 at 11:00 CET, WEBEX platform and user manuals.

To help applicants familiarise themselves with the system before the online submission, an information session will be organised on 19/07/2022 at 11:00 CET, online.

Should you be interested in this session, please send an email by 18/07/2022 to DELEGATION-SERBIA-FCS@eeas.europa.eu, indicating: name, surname, nationality and email address of the persons who are going to participate as well as their organisation (max. two participants per organisation). No costs incurred by the applicants for attending this information session are reimbursable.

All organisations may find more information regarding PROSPECT in the [user's manual](#) and the [e-learning videos](#). You may also contact our technical support team via the online support form in PROSPECT³.

³ If PROSPECT is unavailable, the IT support can also be reached via email: INTPA-SUPPORT-SERVICES@ec.europa.eu.

Table of contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. EU NATIONAL RESOURCE CENTER FOR SERBIA | 4 |
| 1.1. Background..... | 4 |
| 1.2. Objectives of the programme and priority issues | 5 |
| 1.3. Financial allocation provided by the contracting authority | 6 |
| 2. RULES FOR THIS CALL FOR PROPOSALS | 6 |
| 2.1. Eligibility criteria..... | 7 |
| 2.1.1. Eligibility of applicants (i.e. lead applicant and co-applicant(s))..... | 7 |
| 2.1.2. Associates and contractors | 10 |
| 2.1.3. Eligible actions: actions for which an application may be made..... | 10 |
| 2.1.4. Eligibility of costs: costs that can be included | 16 |
| 2.1.5. Ethics clauses and Code of Conduct | 19 |
| 2.2. How to apply and the procedures to follow | 20 |
| 2.2.1. Application forms..... | 20 |
| 2.2.2. Where and how to send applications | 22 |
| 2.2.3. Deadline for submission of applications | 22 |
| 2.2.4. Further information about applications | 23 |
| 2.3. Evaluation and selection of applications | 23 |
| 2.4. Submission of supporting documents | 30 |
| 2.5. Notification of the Contracting Authority’s decision | 30 |
| 2.5.1. Content of the decision..... | 30 |
| 2.5.2. Indicative timetable | 31 |
| 2.6. Conditions for implementation after the contracting authority’s decision..... | 31 |
| 3. LIST OF ANNEXES | 33 |

1. EU NATIONAL RESOURCE CENTER FOR SERBIA

1.1. BACKGROUND

An empowered civil society is a crucial component of any democratic system and should be recognised and treated as such by all stakeholders including the state institutions. Sustainable and inclusive development need a strong, reliable and independent civil society to contribute to the policy making and monitor implementation at all levels of governance throughout the whole geography of the country. It is in the interest of any society to have a strong civil society promoting checks and balances; and demanding transparency, accountability and inclusiveness in the actions of the state and public authorities. By articulating citizens' needs and concerns, civil society fosters pluralism and furthers participatory democracy, increasing the hope and participation of the citizens in the future of their society.

As referred to in the Guidelines for EU Support to Civil Society in Enlargement Region,

- an enabling legal and policy environment, for the exercise of fundamental freedoms and rights,
- an enabling financial environment, supporting sustainability of CSOs,
- strong dialogue and partnership between the civil society and public institutions,
- capable, transparent, accountable and strategically-led and supported CSOs.

are among important objectives to be achieved to enable strong and independent civil society for any country.

One of the European Commission's instruments for supporting the development of the civil society in the EU candidate and potential candidate countries is the "Civil Society Facility" (CSF). It was set up in 2008 and since then has been providing the pre-accession assistance to the civil society in the Western Balkans and Turkey, through National and Multi-beneficiary initiatives.

As elaborated in detail in the Serbia 2021 report of the European Commission "further efforts are still needed to ensure systematic cooperation between the government and civil society. An enabling environment for developing and financing civil society organisations (CSOs) still needs to be created on the ground, as verbal attacks against CSOs continued, including in Parliament."

As regards the development of civil society, the EU provides various means of support through CSF including, but not limited to action and operating grants, technical assistance via the 'Technical Assistance to Civil Society Organisations (TACSO) in Western Balkans and Turkey' project. It also provides support through Resource Centres established throughout the region, which provide direct capacity building assistance through trainings, monitoring, mentoring and other means of knowledge transfer.

The current Resource Centre has been operating since 2019 delivering on various activities in the field of CSO capacity building, visibility and enabling environment reaching out to thousands of individual CSO representatives, informal groups and CSOs.

Key results achieved were in the field of capacity building, providing partnership and networking opportunities, as well as in dealing with the key topics relevant to the status of civil society, media and democratic processes in Serbia. Significant efforts were focused on the establishment of the enabling environment for development and financing of CSOs. The Resource Centre was recognised for tailor-made, focused programmes with long-term contributions to development of the capacities of numerous CSOs. The lack of possibility to couple Financial Support to Third Parties (FSTP) with the trainings provided was noted as a feedback received from the beneficiaries of the services of the Resource Centre.

On the other hand, despite positive trends concerning capacity of especially established civil society in Serbia, the need for capacity building and higher CSO contribution in decision-making remain. Many Serbian CSOs still face numerous challenges linked to human resources development, leadership capacity, processes and procedures, PR skills, networking with other organizations both nationally and regionally, and adequate financial resources for these actions. The majority of CSOs are small entities in terms of budget and staff. With such limited resources, it is hard to retain high quality staff and produce high-impact projects. External

agencies that could help the CSOs in project writing, human resources policies, planning and monitoring, management and administration continue to remain limited in the market.

On the positive side, there is a strong wave of diverse citizen interest groups coming into formation to advocate for local concerns, organised often as informal groups and at times small CSO initiatives. This trend is very positive and it is crucial to provide flexible support to all levels of civil society including strong mentoring aspect coupled with FSTP support to such groups and CSOs requiring assistance.

With this call for proposals, via EU National Resource Centre (EUNRC), capacity-building services coupled with FSTP when necessary will be provided to the CSOs and informal groups. A substantial FSTP support will similarly be provided to develop the civil society further, in sectors that do not receive strong donor support.

The EUNRC will contribute to empowering CSOs by supporting them in their organisational development and effectiveness through trainings, as well as FSTP support, while continuing to cooperate with TACSO, which focused on the regional value-add.

1.2. OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME AND PRIORITY ISSUES

The **global objective** of this call for proposals is: to strengthen the EU integration process in Serbia by empowering civil society to actively participate in policy decision making and by further stimulating an enabling environment for civil society.

The **specific objective(s)** of this call for proposals is:

- to further strengthen the overall capacity of civil society, including their capacities for advocacy and monitoring of reforms and Serbia's EU Integration process in all relevant sectors, enhancing their progress via tailor-made approaches, in line with their point of development in the life cycle, via an EU National Resource Centre throughout Serbia by regional presence where and as relevant;
- to increase the capacities of grassroots and informal groups for advocacy and monitoring of reforms and Serbia's EU Integration process through flexible financial support to third parties (FSTP) mechanisms:
 - coupled with the generic resource centre trainings provided in all sectors, when necessary; and
 - with a focus on strengthening under-developed civil society in specific sectors and assisting to the transformation of informal groups into organised CSOs.

The EUNRC will have three main components in its work:

1. Trainings and relevant coaching / support to civil society: This component will comprise of trainings, when applicable, coupled with coaching and monitoring, assuring development of the beneficiaries, through measurable means of verification, up to 6 months after the provision of the training. It will also include self-study mock-up trainings made available to everyone interested via the website of EUNRC during the whole implementation period of this project and beyond.
2. Development of sustainability of civil society in Serbia through strengthening their funding capacities: This component will map the current legislative framework including identifications of room for improvements. The EUNRC will provide capacity building to CSOs and informal groups to strengthen their funding through a wide range of options, while also taking the necessary steps to advocate for improvement of the legislative framework where applicable.
3. Provision of FSTP: In order to strengthen the capacity of CSOs, grassroots and informal groups;
 - 3.1.1.** Provide FSTP coupled with trainings to support the learning process referred to in the first component, i.e. as defined in "Trainings and relevant coaching / support to civil society", as appropriate;

3.1.2. Provide FSTP coupled with trainings, strong mentorship and monitoring in sectors of competition policies, consumer rights, agriculture, transport policies, urbanisation policies, rural development, food safety, fishery and tourism development, where the civil society is under-developed. Furthermore, identify other sectors where the civil society is under-developed and needs the most capacity building support, through a mapping exercise. Following review of the findings with the stakeholders and in consultation with the EUD, add any new sectors to the above list at the start of 3.1.2.

The **priorities** of this call for proposals are:

- To develop organisational capacities of civil society; and
- To increase the level and quality of participation of civil society in policy-making processes, including advocacy and monitoring of reforms and Serbia's EU Integration process; and
- To strengthen and further develop grassroots organisations and informal groups by means of networking, coaching, mentoring and FSTP.

1.3. FINANCIAL ALLOCATION PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

The overall indicative amount made available under this call for proposals is EUR 4,800,000. The contracting authority reserves the right not to award all available funds.

Size of grants

Any grant requested under this call for proposals must fall between the following minimum and maximum amounts:

- minimum amount: EUR 4,500,000.
- maximum amount: EUR 4,800,000.

Any grant requested under this call for proposals must fall between the following minimum and maximum percentages of total eligible costs of the action:

- Minimum percentage: 51% of the total eligible costs of the action.
- Maximum percentage: 95% of the total eligible costs of the action (see also Section 2.1.4).

The balance (i.e. the difference between the total cost of the action and the amount requested from the contracting authority) must be financed from sources other than the general budget of the Union or the European Development Fund⁴.

The grant may cover the entire eligible costs of the action if this is deemed essential to carry it out. If that is the case, the lead applicant must justify full financing in Section 2.1 of Annex A.2. The validity of the justification provided will be examined during the evaluation procedure. The absence of any justification may lead to the rejection of the application.

⁴ Where a grant is financed by the European Development Fund, any mention of European Union financing must be understood as referring to European Development Fund financing.

2. RULES FOR THIS CALL FOR PROPOSALS

These guidelines set out the rules for the submission, selection and implementation of the actions financed under this call, in conformity with the practical guide, which is applicable to the present call (available on the internet at this address <http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/prag/document.do?locale=en>).⁵

2.1. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

There are three sets of eligibility criteria, relating to:

(1) the actors (2.1.1.):

- The **'lead applicant'**, i.e. the entity submitting the application form;
- if any, its **co-applicant(s)** (**where it is not specified otherwise the lead applicant and its co-applicant(s) are hereinafter jointly referred as 'applicant(s)'**);
- and, if any, **affiliated entity(ies)** to the lead applicant and/or to a co-applicant(s);

(2) the actions (2.1.3.):

- actions for which a grant may be awarded;

(3) the costs (2.1.4.):

- types of cost that may be taken into account in setting the amount of the grant.

2.1.1. Eligibility of applicants (i.e. lead applicant and co-applicant(s))

Lead applicant

(1) In order to be eligible for a grant, the lead applicant must:

- be a legal person **and**
- be non-profit-making **and**
- a civil society organisation⁶ **and**
- be established in⁷ the Republic of Serbia, **and**

⁵ Note that a lead applicant (i.e. a coordinator) whose pillars have been positively assessed by the European Commission and who is awarded a grant will not sign the standard grant contract published with these guidelines but a contribution agreement based on the contribution agreement template. All references in these guidelines and other documents related to this call to the standard grant contract shall in this case be understood as referring to the relevant provisions of the contribution agreement template.

⁶ The broadest possible understanding about civil society organisations is applied under this call for proposals, as defined in 1999 by the European Economic and Social Committee (CES/1999/851) and further detailed by both the EESC (CES/1999/851, CES/2000/81) and the EC (COM/2002/0704 final, COM/2005/0290 final).

⁷ To be determined on the basis of the organisation's statutes, which should demonstrate that it has been established by an instrument governed by the national law of the country concerned and that its head office is located in an eligible country. In this respect, any legal entity whose statutes have been established in another country cannot be considered an eligible local organisation, even if the statutes are registered locally or a 'Memorandum of Understanding' has been concluded.

- be directly responsible for the preparation and management of the action with the co-applicant(s) and affiliated entity(ies), not acting as an intermediary.
- (2) Potential applicants may not participate in calls for proposals or be awarded grants if they are in any of the situations listed in Section 2.6.10.1 of the practical guide;

Lead applicants, co-applicants, affiliated entities and, in case of legal entities, persons who have powers of representation, decision-making or control over the lead applicant, the co-applicants and the affiliated entities are informed that, should they be in one of the situations of early detection or exclusion according to Section 2.6.10.1 of the practical guide, personal details (name, given name if natural person, address, legal form and name and given name of the persons with powers of representation, decision-making or control, if legal person) may be registered in the early detection and exclusion system, and communicated to the persons and entities concerned in relation to the award or the execution of a grant contract. In this respect, provisionally selected lead applicants, co-applicants and affiliated entities or those placed in the reserve list are obliged to declare that they are not in one of the exclusion situations through a signed declaration on honour (PRAG Annex A14). For grants of EUR 15 000 or less, no declaration on honour is required. See section 2.4.

In Annex A.2 Section 5 ('declaration(s) by the lead applicant'), the lead applicant must declare that the lead applicant himself, the co-applicant(s) and affiliated entity(ies) are not in any of these situations.

The lead applicant must act with co-applicant(s) as specified hereafter.

If awarded the grant contract, the lead applicant will become the beneficiary identified as the coordinator in Annex G (special conditions). The coordinator is the sole interlocutor of the contracting authority. It represents and acts on behalf of any other co-beneficiary (if any) and coordinate the design and implementation of the action.

Co-applicant(s)

The proposal should include a minimum of 3 CSOs established in Serbia outside the region/county/city where the lead applicant is established. The lead applicant is encouraged to act with co-applicants from different regions/counties/cities of Serbia, in order to have the ability to provide services throughout the whole territory of Serbia. Furthermore, it is advisable to have at least one co-applicant established in a Member State of the European Union.

In order to be eligible for a grant, the co-applicant must:

- be a legal person, **and**
- be non-profit-making, **and**
- a civil society organisation, **and**
- be established in the Republic of Serbia, **or** in a Member State of the European Union.

Co-applicants participate in designing and implementing the action, and the costs they incur are eligible in the same way as those incurred by the lead applicant.

Co-applicants must sign the mandate in Annex A.2 Section 5.

If awarded the grant contract, the co-applicant(s) will become beneficiary(ies) in the action (together with the coordinator).

- (3) In addition, please note that contracts cannot be awarded to or signed with applicants included in the lists of EU restrictive measures (see Section 2.4. of the PRAG).

Affiliated entities

The lead applicant and its co-applicant(s) may act with affiliated entity(ies).

Only the following entities may be considered as affiliated entities to the lead applicant and/or to co-applicant(s):

Only entities having a structural link with the applicants (i.e. the lead applicant or a co-applicant), in particular a legal or capital link.

This structural link encompasses mainly two notions:

- (i) Control, as defined in Directive 2013/34/EU on the annual financial statements, consolidated financial statements and related reports of certain types of undertakings:

Entities affiliated to an applicant may hence be:

- Entities directly or indirectly controlled by the applicant (daughter companies or first-tier subsidiaries). They may also be entities controlled by an entity controlled by the applicant (granddaughter companies or second-tier subsidiaries) and the same applies to further tiers of control;
 - Entities directly or indirectly controlling the applicant (parent companies). Likewise, they may be entities controlling an entity controlling the applicant;
 - Entities under the same direct or indirect control as the applicant (sister companies).
- (ii) Membership, i.e. the applicant is legally defined as a e.g. network, federation, association in which the proposed affiliated entities also participate or the applicant participates in the same entity (e.g. network, federation, association,...) as the proposed affiliated entities.

The structural link shall as a general rule be neither limited to the action nor established for the sole purpose of its implementation. This means that the link would exist independently of the award of the grant; it should exist before the call for proposals and remain valid after the end of the action.

By way of exception, an entity may be considered as affiliated to an applicant even if it has a structural link specifically established for the sole purpose of the implementation of the action in the case of so-called 'sole applicants' or 'sole beneficiaries'. A sole applicant or a sole beneficiary is a legal entity formed by several entities (a group of entities) which together comply with the criteria for being awarded the grant. For example, an association is formed by its members.

What is not an affiliated entity?

The following are not considered entities affiliated to an applicant:

- Entities that have entered into a (procurement) contract or subcontract with an applicant, act as concessionaires or delegates for public services for an applicant,
- Entities that receive financial support from the applicant,
- Entities that cooperate on a regular basis with an applicant on the basis of a memorandum of understanding or share some assets,
- Entities that have signed a consortium agreement under the grant contract (unless this consortium agreement leads to the creation of a 'sole applicant' as described above).

How to verify the existence of the required link with an applicant?

The affiliation resulting from control may in particular be proved on the basis of the consolidated accounts of the group of entities the applicant and its proposed affiliates belong to.

The affiliation resulting from membership may in particular be proved on the basis of the statutes or equivalent act establishing the entity (network, federation, association) which the applicant constitutes or in which the applicant participates.

If the applicants are awarded a grant contract, their affiliated entity(ies) will not become beneficiary(ies) of the action and signatory(ies) of the grant contract. However, they will participate in the design and in the implementation of the action and the costs they incur (including those incurred for implementation contracts and financial support to third parties and subcontractors) may be accepted as eligible costs, provided they comply with all the relevant rules already applicable to the beneficiary(ies) under the grant contract.

Affiliated entity(ies) must satisfy the same eligibility criteria as the lead applicant and the co-applicant(s). They must sign the affiliated entity(ies) statement in Annex A.2 Section 5.

2.1.2. Associates and contractors

The following entities are not applicants nor affiliated entities and do not have to sign the 'mandate for co-applicant(s)' or 'affiliated entities' statement:

- Associates

Other organisations or individuals may be involved in the action. Such associates play a real role in the action but may not receive funding from the grant, with the exception of per diem or travel costs. Associates do not have to meet the eligibility criteria referred to in Section 2.1.1. Associates must be mentioned in Annex A.2 Section 4 — 'Associates participating in the action'.

- Contractors

The beneficiaries and their affiliated entities are permitted to award contracts. Associates or affiliated entity(ies) cannot be also contractors in the project. Contractors are subject to the procurement rules set out in Annex IV to the standard grant contract.

2.1.3. Eligible actions: actions for which an application may be made

Definition:

An action is composed of a set of activities.

Duration

The initial planned duration of an action may not be lower than **48 months** nor exceed **56 months**.

Sectors or themes

- All sectors and themes relevant to EU Integration of Serbia

Location

Actions must take place in the Republic of Serbia.

Types of action

This grant should provide comprehensive support and capacity building services, as EU National Resource Centre to Civil Society. The EUNRC is required to provide equal treatment to the actors of civil society.

The applicants should demonstrate in their project proposal that all regions of Serbia including remote areas will be reached, and that sufficient number of activities will be implemented in urban and rural areas targeting civil society from all backgrounds, sizes, levels of capacity and experience.

This grant will include a mapping exercise at the start of the implementation of the project, which will further identify the sectors where civil society is under-developed (in addition to the ones already referred to within section 1.2. OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME AND PRIORITY ISSUES under part 3.1.2). The

mapping will be based on a set of scientific and measurable criteria for defining ‘under-developed’ civil society, which will be included in the full application of the applicants to the Call for Proposals.

These criteria will include, but are not limited to the following:

- Organisational capacity of CSOs (e.g. management, self-learning and development, turnover of personnel etc.),
- Financial capacity of CSOs,
- Diversity of funding,
- Strength of outreach to constituency,
- Impact on policy.

The action must further contribute to the achievement of relevant objectives and results of the “Guidelines for EU Support to Civil Society in Enlargement Region, 2021-2027” to be adopted by DG NEAR, expected within 2022 (NB: to be provided after the adoption).

The previous DG Enlargement "Guidelines for EU support to civil society in enlargement countries, 2014-2020" can be found at: https://eeas.europa.eu/sites/default/files/doc_guidelines_cs_support1.pdf

Types of Action which may be financed under this call:

General:

- Strengthening organisational development of civil society;
- Support civil society to strengthen their advocacy skills, building networks and coalitions;
- Support cooperation among civil society, state institutions and donor community;
- Build capacity of civil society to contribute to the EU integration process;
- Policy research, needs analysis and monitoring of environment for civil society in line with the EU Guidelines on Civil Society;
- Mentoring and coaching support to civil society and their networks;
- Coordination of activities, in cooperation with the EU Delegation to Serbia and the EU Info Centre, to strengthen the visibility of EU funded grants and sub-grants through provision of relevant expertise;
- Provision of training to CSOs on how to use FSTP mechanisms to support grassroots and informal groups;
- Advocacy for improvement of the legislative framework for funding of civil society;
- Support civil society in diversification of their funding opportunities and strengthen fund-raising abilities outside the framework of EU accession funding.

FSTP specific:

- Provide financial support to third parties via permanent open calls;
- Complement financial support to third parties by:
 - capacity building measures such as coaching and on-the-job training;

- support and mentor the recipients of financial support in the implementation of their activities, as well as in the management of the financial support (i.e. related to financial and narrative reporting, budget execution and revisions, etc.).
- Support the beneficiaries of FSTP in achieving visibility of their actions and activities, and an effective communication / outreach in line with the scale of their activity.

The following types of action are ineligible:

- actions concerned only or mainly with individual sponsorships for participation in workshops, seminars, conferences and congresses;
- actions concerned only or mainly with individual scholarships for studies or training courses;
- actions concerned only or mainly with study visits or participation in international forums;
- infrastructure projects or projects essentially focused on the purchase of equipment;
- purchase and/or renovation of buildings or offices;
- actions aiming predominantly at charitable donations and profit making activities;
- actions which are already funded by other Community programmes and / or undertaken before the date of contract signature.

Types of activity

Non-exhaustive list of types of activity, which may be financed under this call:

General:

- Organisation of capacity building services with particular attention to a wide outreach to CSOs, including small and underdeveloped ones as well as informal groups;
- Organisation and implementation of training programmes, such as strategic management, PCM, advocacy and lobbying, CSOs' visibility and public relations, etc.;
- Provision of ad hoc services through a help-desk;
- Provision of trainings through an eLearning platform allowing for evaluation of performance, follow-up through tailor-made training coupled with seed money allowing implementation;
- Dissemination of timely information in relation to the changes in legislation and policies concerning civil society, including funding opportunities;
- Networking events and partnership building for CSOs;
- Facilitation of exchange of information, know-how and experience among CSOs, grassroots, informal groups and individual activists;
- Organisation of seminars, conferences, workshops, round tables and alike;
- Performing sector specific research activities relevant to development of civil society in that sector;
- Publication of monitoring reports, leaflets, brochures, manuals on best practices and related web based materials, etc.;
- Grant visibility activities: all applicants should include a communication and visibility strategic plan both in the Full Application and the budget in line with the Communication and Visibility Manual for European Union External Actions, see more precise instructions below;

- Issuing quarterly and ad-hoc reports about the general state of civil society, changes to relevant legislation, sector specific analysis and policy proposals.

FSTP specific:

- Provision of FSTP and relevant support activities including strong mentoring and capacity building to CSOs, grassroots and informal groups;
- Monitoring measurable achievements and feeding the outputs of FSTPs provided, to the EUD and policy makers;
- Awareness raising in the fields of FSTP provided.

Financial support to third parties⁸

Applicants shall propose financial support to third parties.

Applicants shall propose financial support to third parties in order to help achieving the objectives of the action.

In compliance with the present guidelines and notably of any conditions or restrictions in this Section, the lead applicant should define mandatorily in Section 2.1.1 of Annex A.2 (Grant application form – Full application):

- (i) the overall objectives, the specific objective(s) and the outputs⁹ (i.e. the results) to be achieved with the financial support
- (ii) the different types of activities eligible for financial support, on the basis of a fixed list
- (iii) the types of persons or categories of persons which may receive financial support
- (iv) the criteria for selecting these entities and giving the financial support
- (v) the criteria for determining the exact amount of financial support for each third entity, and
- (vi) the maximum amount which may be given.

In all events, the mandatory conditions set above for giving financial support (points (i) to (vi)) have to be strictly defined in the grant contract as to avoid any exercise of discretion.

The grant beneficiary proposal must include a financial support to third parties consuming minimum 50% of the direct eligible cost of the action (please refer to budget heading 7 “Subtotal direct eligible costs of the Action” within the Annex B-Budget).

Minimum 40% of the financial support to third parties (under Annex B - Budget under heading 6 "Other") provided needs to be given to beneficiaries in the sectors within section 1.2. OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME AND PRIORITY ISSUES under part 3.1.2, as well as others, where civil society is identified as under-developed during the mapping exercise in consultation with the EUD and other stakeholders.

The maximum total amount of financial support per third party during the full implementation period of the project is EUR ≤ 60,000. The maximum amount of financial support per third party per award is EUR 40,000.

The Informal Groups to benefit from the FSTP need to be supported via an intermediary CSO. The maximum total amount of financial support per Informal Group during the full implementation period of the project is EUR ≤ 5,000.

⁸ These third parties are neither affiliated entity(ies) nor associates nor contractors.

⁹ As per OECD DAC definition, the term ‘results’ includes: ‘impact’ (overall objective), ‘outcome(s)’ (specific objective(s) and ‘output(s)’.

The financial support to third parties is allowed under the specific conditions applicable to this call for proposals.

For this Call for proposals the following specific conditions apply for the third parties:

- be a legal person
- be non-profit-making and
- be a specific type of organisation such as: non-governmental organisations (NGOs), i.e. organizations considered as non-state, non-profit making actors operating on an independent and accountable basis. They may include: non-governmental organizations, professional associations, chambers of commerce, employers associations and trade unions, centres, independent foundations, and independent research based institutions, public and private universities, media organizations, legally registered civil society network.
- be legally established in Serbia.

Indicative types of activities eligible for financial support to third parties:

- Development of policy papers, monitoring reports, public opinion surveys, legislation revision, and budget analysis including manuals on best practices related to the priority themes;
- Statistical analysis, focus group discussions, questionnaires to specific groups to build evidence-based work, opinion polls, and other tools related to public policies analysis;
- Travel costs, organisation and participation to public debates, seminars, workshops;
- Fieldwork to collect information, opinions, and suggestions for policy improvement;
- Advocacy activities, including those related to the dissemination of findings, suggestions, and recommendations;
- Communication and visibility activities related to dissemination of findings, suggestions, and recommendations, through channels of social media, television, radio and other innovative communication channels.

The modalities through which the financial support is granted (e.g. following a call for proposals or expression of interest) must also be specified and clearly linked to support the achievement of the relevant specific objectives defined in the Guidelines.

The financial support to third parties must be awarded in accordance with the general principles for contracting in the context of European Union external actions:

- (a) ensuring sufficient transparency, fair competition and adequate ex-ante publicity;
- (b) ensuring equal treatment, proportionality and non-discrimination;
- (c) avoiding conflicts of interests throughout the entire procurement procedure.

The total amount foreseen for the financial support to third parties must be clearly identifiable in the Annex B - Budget under heading 6 "Other".

Visibility

The applicants must take all necessary steps to publicise the fact that the European Union has financed or co-financed the action. As far as possible, actions that are wholly or partially funded by the European Union must incorporate information and communication activities designed to raise the awareness of specific or general

audiences of the reasons for the action and the EU support for the action in the country or region concerned, as well as the results and the impact of this support.

Applicants must comply with the objectives and priorities and guarantee the visibility of the EU financing (see the Requirements for Visibility Communication for EU external actions specified and published by the European Commission at https://ec.europa.eu/international-partnerships/comm-visibility-requirements_en)

Number of applications and grants per applicants / affiliated entities

The lead applicant may not submit more than one application under this call for proposals.

The lead applicant may not be awarded more than one grant under this call for proposals.

The lead applicant may not be a co-applicant or an affiliated entity in another application at the same time.

A co-applicant/affiliated entity may not be the co-applicant or affiliated entity in more than two application(s) under this call for proposals.

A co-applicant/affiliated entity may not be awarded more than one grant under this call for proposals.

2.1.4. Eligibility of costs: costs that can be included

Union contributions under this call for proposals take the following form(s):

- Reimbursement of eligible costs that may be based on any or a combination of the following forms:
 - (i) actual costs incurred by the beneficiary(ies) and affiliated entity(ies);
 - (ii) one or more simplified cost options (see below).

Only 'eligible costs' can be covered by a grant. The categories of costs that are eligible and non-eligible are indicated below. The budget is both a cost estimate and an overall ceiling for 'eligible costs'.

Simplified cost options may take the form of:

- **unit costs:** covering all or certain specific categories of eligible costs which are clearly identified in advance by reference to an amount per unit.
- **lump sums:** covering in global terms all or certain specific categories of eligible costs which are clearly identified in advance.
- **flat-rate financing:** covering specific categories of eligible costs which are clearly identified in advance by applying a percentage fixed ex ante.

Simplified costs options (SCOs) are divided in two categories:

1/ "output or result based SCOs": this category includes costs linked to outputs, results, activities, deliverables in the framework of a specific project (for example the determination of a lump sum for the organization of a conference, or for the realisation of a determined output/activity). Where possible and appropriate, lump sums, unit costs or flat rates shall be determined in such a way to allow their payment upon achievement of concrete outputs and/or results. This type of SCO can be proposed by the beneficiary (no threshold is applicable) at proposal's stage (in Annex A.2 – Grant application form – Full application). In case the evaluation committee and the contracting authority are not satisfied with the quality of the justification provided reimbursement on the basis of actually incurred costs is always possible.

2/ "other SCOs". This second category entails simplified cost options embedded in the cost accounting practices of the beneficiary if they are accepted by national authorities under comparable funding schemes. In this case, the grant beneficiary shall demonstrate that the national authority accepted the cost accounting practices and will have to specify in which context this acceptance is given. The evaluation committee will assess if the funding scheme is comparable.

To obtain reimbursement of this category of SCOs, the beneficiary shall make reference to the comparable funding schemes of national authorities in the budget justification sheet (annex B). In case the evaluation committee and the contracting authority are not satisfied with the quality of the justification provided reimbursement on the basis of actually incurred costs is always possible.

The amounts or rates have to be based on estimates using objective data such as statistical data or any other objective means or with reference to certified or auditable historical data of the applicants or the affiliated entity(ies). Determining SCO is possible also through 'expert judgement' provided by internally available experts or procured in accordance with the applicable rules. Experts must be either commissioned auditors or chartered accountants, or staff of the contracting authority but cannot be staff of the beneficiary. The methods used to determine the amounts or rates of unit costs, lump sums or flat-rates must comply with the criteria established in Annex K, and especially ensure that the costs correspond fairly to the actual costs incurred by the beneficiary(ies) and affiliated entity(ies), are in line with their cost accounting practices, no profit is made and the costs are not already covered by other sources of funding (no double funding). Please refer to Annex K for the details of the procedure to be followed depending on the type and amount of the costs to be declared as SCO. Applicants proposing this form of reimbursement, must clearly indicate in worksheet no.1 of Annex B, each heading/item of eligible costs concerned by this type of financing, i.e. add the reference in capital letters to 'UNIT COST', 'LUMPSUM', 'FLAT RATE' in the Unit column (see example in Annex K).

Additionally, in Annex B, in the second column of worksheet no.2, 'Justification of the estimated costs' per each of the corresponding budget item or heading applicants must:

- describe the information and methods used to establish the amounts of unit costs, lump sums and/or flat-rates, to which costs they refer, for output or result based SCO;
- clearly explain the formulas for calculation of the final eligible amount for output or result based SCO;
- make reference to the national authorities comparable funding schemes for other SCOs.

In case of output or result based SCOs the evaluation committee and the contracting authority decide whether to accept the proposed amounts or rates on the basis of the provisional budget¹⁰ submitted by the applicants, by analysing factual data of grants carried out by the applicants or of similar actions. In case the evaluation committee and the contracting authority are not satisfied with the quality of the justification provided reimbursement on the basis of actually incurred costs is always possible.

No threshold is set ex-ante for the total amount of financing that can be authorised by the contracting authority on the basis of simplified cost options. Other SCOs can be declared only if previously accepted by national authorities in comparable funding schemes.

Recommendations to award a grant are always subject to the condition that the checks preceding the signing of the grant contract do not reveal problems requiring changes to the budget (such as arithmetical errors, inaccuracies, unrealistic costs and ineligible costs). The checks may give rise to requests for clarification and may lead the contracting authority to impose modifications or reductions to address such mistakes or inaccuracies. It is not possible to increase the grant or the percentage of EU co-financing as a result of these corrections.

It is therefore in the applicants' interest to provide a **realistic and cost-effective budget**.

The grant may take the form of a single lump-sum covering the entire eligible costs of an action or a work programme.

Single lump sums may be determined on the basis of the estimated budget, which should comply with the principles of economy, efficiency and effectiveness. Compliance with these principles shall be verified ex ante at the time of evaluation of the grant application.

¹⁰ Budget should be submitted with the calculation of costs without VAT, excise duties and other special consumption taxes or any other similar tax, duties or charges having equivalent effect.

When authorising single lump sums the authorising officer responsible shall comply with the conditions applicable to output or result based SCOs.

When using this form of financing, the description of the action shall include detailed information on the essential conditions triggering the payment, including, where applicable, the achievement of outputs and/or results.

Eligible direct costs

To be eligible under this call for proposals, costs must comply with the provisions of Article 14 of the general conditions to the standard grant contract (see Annex G of the guidelines).

The applicants (and where applicable their affiliated entities) agree that the expenditure verification(s) referred to in Article 15.7 of the general conditions to the standard grant contract (see Annex G of the Guidelines) will be carried out by the Contracting Authority or any external body authorised by the Contracting Authority.

Contingency reserve

The budget may include a contingency reserve not exceeding 5 % of the estimated direct eligible costs. It can only be used with the **prior written authorisation** of the contracting authority.

Eligible indirect costs

The indirect costs incurred in carrying out the action may be eligible for flat-rate funding, but the total must not exceed 7 % of the estimated total eligible direct costs. Indirect costs are eligible provided that they do not include costs assigned to another budget heading in the standard grant contract. The lead applicant may be asked to justify the percentage requested before the grant contract is signed. However, once the flat rate has been fixed in the special conditions of the grant contract, no supporting documents need to be provided.

If any of the applicants or affiliated entity(ies) is in receipt of an operating grant financed by the EU, it may not claim indirect costs on its incurred costs within the proposed budget for the action.

Contributions in kind

Contributions in kind mean the provision of goods or services to beneficiaries or affiliated entities free of charge by a third party. As contributions in kind do not involve any expenditure for beneficiaries or affiliated entities, they are not eligible costs (except for personnel costs for the work carried out by volunteers under an action or an operating grant if so authorised).

Contributions in kind may not be treated as co-financing. However, if the description of the action as proposed includes contributions in kind, the contributions have to be made.

Other co-financing shall be based on estimates provided by the applicant.

Ineligible costs

The following costs are not eligible:

- debts and debt service charges (interest);
- provisions for losses or potential future liabilities;
- costs declared by the beneficiary(ies) and financed by another action or work programme receiving a European Union (including through EDF) grant;
- purchases of land or buildings, except where necessary for the direct implementation of the action, in which case ownership must be transferred in accordance with Article 7.5 of the general conditions of the standard grant contract, at the latest at the end of the action;
- currency exchange losses;

- credit to third parties;
- salary costs of the personnel of national administrations; and
- Value Added Tax (VAT), excise duties and other special consumption taxes or any other similar tax, duties or charges having equivalent effect (subject to the final provisions as defined in the FFPA¹¹).

2.1.5. Ethics clauses and Code of Conduct

a) Absence of conflict of interest

The applicant must not be affected by any conflict of interest and must have no equivalent relation in that respect with other applicants or parties involved in the actions. Any attempt by an applicant to obtain confidential information, enter into unlawful agreements with competitors or influence the evaluation committee or the contracting authority during the process of examining, clarifying, evaluating and comparing applications will lead to the rejection of its application and may result in administrative penalties according to the Financial Regulation in force.

b) Respect for human rights as well as environmental legislation and core labour standards

The applicant and its staff must comply with human rights. In particular and in accordance with the applicable act, applicants who have been awarded contracts must comply with the environmental legislation including multilateral environmental agreements, and with the core labour standards as applicable and as defined in the relevant International Labour Organisation conventions (such as the conventions on freedom of association and collective bargaining; elimination of forced and compulsory labour; abolition of child labour).

Zero tolerance for sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment:

The European Commission applies a policy of 'zero tolerance' in relation to all wrongful conduct which has an impact on the professional credibility of the applicant.

Physical abuse or punishment, or threats of physical abuse, sexual abuse or exploitation, harassment and verbal abuse, as well as other forms of intimidation shall be prohibited.

Applicants, (and affiliated entities) other than (i) natural persons (ii) pillar-assessed entities and (iii) governments and other public bodies whose application has been provisionally selected or placed in a reserve list shall assess their internal policy against sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment (SEA-H) through a self-evaluation questionnaire (Annex L). For grants of EUR 60 000 or less no self-evaluation is required. Such self-evaluation questionnaire is not part of the evaluation of the application by the contracting authority, but is an administrative requirement. See section 2.5.6 of the PRAG.

c) Anti-corruption and anti-bribery

The applicant shall comply with all applicable laws and regulations and codes relating to anti-bribery and anti-corruption. The contracting authority reserves the right to suspend or cancel project financing if corrupt practices of any kind are discovered at any stage of the award process or during the execution of a contract and if the contracting authority fails to take all appropriate measures to remedy the situation. For the purposes of this provision, 'corrupt practices' are the offer of a bribe, gift, gratuity or commission to any person as an inducement or reward for performing or refraining from any act relating to the award of a contract or execution of a contract already concluded with the contracting authority.

¹¹ Please note that the ineligibility of taxes, including VAT, duties and charges, depends on the signature of the FFPA between the European commission and the Republic of Serbia for the MFF 2021-2027.

d) Unusual commercial expenses

Applications will be rejected or contracts terminated if it emerges that the award or execution of a contract has given rise to unusual commercial expenses. Such unusual commercial expenses are commissions not mentioned in the main contract or not stemming from a properly concluded contract referring to the main contract, commissions not paid in return for any actual and legitimate service, commissions remitted to a tax haven, commissions paid to a payee who is not clearly identified or commissions paid to a company which has every appearance of being a front company.

Grant beneficiaries found to have paid unusual commercial expenses on projects funded by the European Union are liable, depending on the seriousness of the facts observed, to have their contracts terminated or to be permanently excluded from receiving EU/EDF funds.

e) Breach of obligations, irregularities or fraud

The contracting authority reserves the right to suspend or cancel the procedure, where the award procedure proves to have been subject to substantial breach of obligations, irregularities or fraud. If substantial breach of obligations, irregularities or fraud are discovered after the award of the contract, the contracting authority may refrain from concluding the contract.

2.2. HOW TO APPLY AND THE PROCEDURES TO FOLLOW

To apply for this call for proposals, lead applicants need to:

- I. Provide information about the organisations involved in the action. To this end, lead applicants, co-applicants and affiliated entities should register in PADOR¹² and the lead applicants must make sure that their PADOR profile is up to date. Please note that the registration of this data in **PADOR is obligatory**.

PADOR is an on-line database in which organisations register and update information concerning their entity. Organisations registered in PADOR get a unique ID (EuropeAid ID), which they must mention in their application. PADOR is accessible via the website: https://ec.europa.eu/international-partnerships/funding/looking-for-funding_en.

If it is impossible to register online in PADOR for technical reasons, lead applicants, co-applicants and/or affiliated entity(ies) must complete the 'PADOR registration form'¹³ attached to these guidelines. This form must be sent **together with the application**, by the submission deadline (see section 2.2.2.).

- II. Provide information about the action in the documents listed under section 2.2.2. (Where and how to send applications). Please note that online submission via **PROSPECT is obligatory** for this call.

It is strongly recommended to register in PADOR well in advance and not to wait until the last minute before the deadline to submit your application in PROSPECT.

Before starting using PADOR and PROSPECT, please read the user guides available on the website. All technical questions related to the use of these systems should be addressed to the IT helpdesk at INTPA-SUPPORT-SERVICES@ec.europa.eu via the online support form in PROSPECT.

¹² Natural persons who apply for a grant (if so allowed in the guidelines) do not have to register in PADOR. In this case, the information included in PROSPECT and in the concept note is sufficient.

¹³ Which corresponds to Annex F – PADOR Off-line form (PRAG annex e13).

2.2.1. Application forms

Applications must be submitted in accordance with the instructions on the concept note and the full applications in the grant application form annexed to these guidelines (Annex A). Lead applicants should then keep strictly to the format of the grant application form and fill in the paragraphs and pages in order.

Applicants must apply in English.

Please complete the application form carefully and as clearly as possible so that it can be assessed properly.

Any error or major discrepancy related to the points listed in the instructions on the concept note or any major inconsistency in the application e.g. if the amounts in the budget worksheets are inconsistent) may lead to the rejection of the application.

Clarifications will only be requested when the information provided is unclear and thus prevents the Contracting Authority from conducting an objective assessment. Please note that only the grant application form and the published annexes which have to be filled in (budget, logical framework) will be evaluated. It is therefore of utmost importance that these documents contain ALL the relevant information concerning the action.

If it is impossible to register online in PADOR for technical reasons, the lead applicant has to submit with the application the completed PADOR registration form (Annex F) for the lead applicant, each co-applicant (if any) and each affiliated entity (if any).

Please note that the following documents¹⁴ shall be uploaded in PADOR by the application deadline or submitted together with the PADOR registration form with the application form:

1. The statutes or articles of association of the lead applicant, (if any) of each co-applicant and (if any) of each affiliated entity. Where the contracting authority has recognised the lead applicant's, or the co-applicant(s)'s, or their affiliated entity(ies)'s eligibility for another call for proposals under the same budget line within 2 years before the deadline for receipt of applications, it should be submitted, instead of the statutes or articles of association, a copy of the document proving their eligibility in a former call (e.g. a copy of the special conditions of a grant contract received during the reference period), unless a change in legal status has occurred in the meantime. This obligation does not apply to international organisations which have signed a framework agreement with the European Commission.
2. Legal entity form (see Annex D of these guidelines) duly completed and signed by each of the applicants (i.e. by the lead applicant and by each co-applicant, if any), accompanied by the justifying documents requested there. If the applicants have already signed a contract with the contracting authority, instead of the legal entity sheet and supporting documents, the legal entity number may be provided, unless a change in legal status occurred in the meantime.
3. A financial identification form of the lead applicant (not from co-applicant(s)) conforming to the model attached as Annex E of these guidelines, certified by the bank to which the payments will be made. This bank should be located in the country where the lead applicant is established. If the lead applicant has already submitted a financial identification form in the past for a contract where the European Commission was in charge of the payments and intends to use the same bank account, a copy of the previous financial identification form may be provided instead.

In addition, for the purpose of the evaluation of the financial capacity, the following documents should be submitted¹⁵:

¹⁵ No supporting documents will be requested for applications for a grant not exceeding EUR 60 000.

1. For action grants exceeding EUR 750 000 and for operating grants exceeding EUR 100 000, the lead applicant must provide an audit report produced by an approved external auditor where it is available, and always in cases where a statutory audit is required by EU or national law. That report shall certify the accounts for up to the last 3 financial years available.

In all other cases, the lead applicant shall provide a self-declaration signed by its authorised representative certifying the validity of its accounts for up to the last 3 financial years available.

This requirement shall apply only to the first application made by an applicant to the contracting authority in any one financial year.

The external audit report as well as the self-declaration certifying the validity of the accounts are not required from the co-applicant(s) or affiliated entities (if any).

2. A copy of the lead applicant's latest accounts (the profit and loss account and the balance sheet for the last financial year for which the accounts have been closed)¹⁶. A copy of the latest account is neither required from the co-applicant(s) (if any) nor from affiliated entity(ies) (if any).

The requested supporting documents (uploaded in PADOR or sent together with the PADOR registration form) must be supplied in the form of originals, photocopies or scanned versions (i.e. showing legible stamps, signatures and dates) of the said originals. Originals should be kept on file for controls.

Where such documents are not in one of the official languages of the European Union, a translation into English of the relevant parts of these documents proving the lead applicant's and, where applicable, co-applicants' and affiliated entity(ies)' eligibility, must be attached for the purpose of analysing the application.

Where these documents are in an official language of the European Union other than English, it is strongly recommended, in order to facilitate the evaluation, to provide a translation of the relevant parts of the documents, proving the lead applicant's and, where applicable, co-applicants' and affiliated entity(ies)' eligibility, into English.

Applicants have to take into consideration the time necessary to obtain official documents from national competent authorities and to translate such documents in the authorised languages while registering their data in PADOR.

If the abovementioned supporting documents are not provided by the deadline for the submission of the application form, the application may be rejected.

No additional annexes should be sent.

2.2.2. Where and how to send applications

Applications **must be submitted online** via PROSPECT <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/europeaid/prospect> following the instructions given in the PROSPECT user manual.

Upon submission of the application online, the lead applicant will receive an automatic confirmation of receipt in its PROSPECT profile.

Applications sent by any other means (e.g. by fax or by e-mail) or delivered to other addresses will be rejected. Hand-written applications will not be accepted.

¹⁶ This obligation does not apply to natural persons who have received a scholarship or that are in most need of direct support, nor to public bodies and to international organisations. It does not apply either when the accounts are in practice the same documents as the external audit report already provided pursuant to Section 2.2.1 point 1.

Please note that incomplete applications may be rejected. Lead applicants are advised to verify that their application is complete using the checklist (Annexes A.1 and A.2, Instructions).

2.2.3. Deadline for submission of applications

The deadline for the submission of applications is 16/09/2022 at 17:00 (Brussels date and time). In order to convert this deadline to local time you can use any online time converter tool that takes into account time zones and winter/summer time changes (example available [here](#))¹⁷.

Lead applicants are strongly advised not to wait until the last day to submit their applications, since heavy Internet traffic or a fault with the Internet connection (including electricity failure, etc.) could lead to difficulties in submission. The contacting authority cannot be held responsible for any delay due to such aforementioned difficulties.

Any application submitted after the deadline will be rejected.

2.2.4. Further information about applications

An information session on this call for proposals will be held on 19/07/2022 at 11:00h via WEBEX platform (the link will be provided on 18/07/2022 on the website of the EU Delegation to the Republic of Serbia www.europa.rs)

Questions may be sent by e-mail no later than 21 days before the deadline for the submission of applications to the below address(es), indicating clearly the reference of the call for proposals:

E-mail address: **delegation-serbia-fcs@eeas.europa.eu**

The contracting authority has no obligation to provide clarifications to questions received after this date.

Replies will be given no later than 11 days before the deadline for the submission of applications.

To ensure equal treatment of applicants, the contracting authority cannot give a prior opinion on the eligibility of lead applicants, co-applicants, affiliated entity(ies), an action or specific activities.

No individual replies will be given to questions. All questions and answers as well as other important notices to applicants during the course of the evaluation procedure will be published on the website where the call was published: website DG International Partnerships https://ec.europa.eu/international-partnerships/home_fr or Funding & Tender opportunities (F&T Portal) <https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/home>. It is therefore advisable to consult the abovementioned website(s) regularly in order to be informed of the questions and answers published.

All questions related to registration in PADOR or the online submission via PROSPECT should be addressed to the IT helpdesk at INTPA-SUPPORT-SERVICES@ec.europa.eu **via the online support form in PROSPECT**: please note that the working languages of the IT support are English, French and Spanish. Therefore, users are invited to send their questions in English, French or Spanish should they wish to benefit from an optimum response time.

Please note that the contracting authority may decide to cancel the call for proposals procedure at any stage according to the conditions set out in Section 6.5.9 of the PRAG.

¹⁷ For example: <http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/converter.html>.

2.3. EVALUATION AND SELECTION OF APPLICATIONS

Applications will be examined and evaluated by the contracting authority with the possible assistance of external assessors. All applications will be assessed according to the following steps and criteria.

If the examination of the application reveals that the proposed action does not meet the eligibility criteria stated in Section 2.1, the application will be rejected on this sole basis.

(1) STEP 1: ADMINISTRATIVE CHECKS AND CONCEPT NOTE EVALUATION

During the administrative check the following will be assessed:

- If the deadline has been met. Otherwise, the application will be automatically rejected.
- If the application satisfies all the criteria specified in the checklist in Annex A.2, Instructions. This includes also an assessment of the eligibility of the action. If any of the requested information is missing or is incorrect, the application may be rejected on that **sole** basis and the application will not be evaluated further.

The concept notes that pass this check will be evaluated on the relevance and design of the proposed action.

The concept notes will receive an overall score out of 50 using the breakdown in the evaluation grid below. The evaluation will also check on compliance with the instructions on how to complete the concept note, which can be found in Annex A.1.

The evaluation criteria are divided into headings and subheadings. Each subheading will be given a score between 1 and 5 as follows: 1 = very poor; 2 = poor; 3 = adequate; 4 = good; 5 = very good.

Evaluation Grid

| Section | Maximum Score |
|---|---------------|
| 1. Relevance of the action | 20 |
| 1.1. How relevant is the proposal to the objectives and priorities of the call for proposals and to the specific themes/sectors/areas or any other specific requirement stated in the guidelines for applicants? Are the expected results of the action aligned with the priorities defined in the guidelines for applicants (section 1.2)? | 5 |
| 1.2. How relevant is the proposal to the particular needs and constraints of the target country(ies), region(s) and/or relevant sectors (including synergy with other development initiatives and avoidance of duplication)? | 5 |
| 1.3. How clearly defined and strategically chosen are those involved (final beneficiaries, target groups)? Have their needs (as rights holders and/or duty bearers) and constraints been clearly defined and does the proposal address them appropriately? | 5 |
| 1.4. Does the proposal contain particular added-value elements (e.g. innovation, best practices) ? [and the other additional elements indicated under 1.2. of the guidelines for applicants] | 5 |
| 2. Design of the action | 30 |
| 2.1. How coherent is the overall design of the action? Does the proposal indicate the expected results to be achieved by the action? Does the intervention logic explain the rationale to achieve the expected results? | 5x2** |
| 2.2. Does the design reflect a robust analysis of the problems involved, and the capacities of the relevant stakeholders? | 5 |
| 2.3. Does the design take into account external factors (risks and assumptions)? | 5 |
| 2.4. Are the activities feasible and consistent in relation to the expected results (including timeframe)? Are results (output, outcome and impact) realistic? | 5 |
| 2.5. To which extent does the proposal integrate relevant cross-cutting elements such as environmental/climate change issues, promotion of gender equality and equal opportunities, | 5 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| needs of disabled people, rights of minorities and rights of indigenous peoples, youth, combating HIV/AIDS (if there is a strong prevalence in the target country/region)? | |
| Maximum total score | 50 |

****:** this score is multiplied by 2 because of its importance

Once all concept notes have been assessed, a list will be drawn up with the proposed actions ranked according to their total score.

Firstly, only the concept notes with a score of at least 30 will be considered for pre-selection.

Secondly, the number of concept notes will be reduced, taking account of the ranking, to the number of concept notes whose total aggregate amount of requested contributions is equal to at least 200% of the available budget for this call for proposals. The amount of requested contributions of each concept note will be based on the indicative financial envelopes for each lot, where relevant.

Lead applicants will receive a letter indicating the reference number of their application and the respective results. This letter will automatically appear online in the PROSPECT profile of the lead applicant.

The evaluation committee will then proceed with the lead applicants whose proposals have been pre-selected.

(2) STEP 2: EVALUATION OF THE FULL APPLICATION

If the applications pass the opening and administrative checks along the instructions of the Step 1: they will be further evaluated on their quality, including the proposed budget and capacity of the applicants and affiliated entity(ies). They will be evaluated using the evaluation criteria in the evaluation grid below. There are two types of evaluation criteria: selection and award criteria.

The selection criteria help to evaluate the applicant(s)'s and affiliated entity(ies)'s operational capacity and the lead applicant's financial capacity and are used to verify that they:

- have stable and sufficient sources of finance to maintain their activity throughout the proposed action and, where appropriate, to participate in its funding (this only applies to lead applicants);
- have the management capacity, professional competencies and qualifications required to successfully complete the proposed action. This applies to applicants and any affiliated entity(ies).

For the purpose of the evaluation of the financial capacity, lead applicants must ensure that the relevant information and documents (i.e. accounts of the latest financial year and external audit report, where applicable) are up to date, either in their PADOR profile or when submitting the requested documents with the PADOR registration form. If the information and documents requested are outdated and do not allow for a proper evaluation of the financial capacity, the application may be rejected.

The award criteria help to evaluate the quality of the applications in relation to the objectives and priorities set forth in the guidelines, and to award grants to projects which maximise the overall effectiveness of the call for proposals. They help to select applications which the contracting authority can be confident will comply with its objectives and priorities. They cover the relevance of the action, its consistency with the objectives of the call for proposals, quality, expected impact, sustainability and cost-effectiveness.

Scoring:

The evaluation grid is divided into Sections and subsections. Each subsection will be given a score between 1 and 5 as follows: 1 = very poor; 2 = poor; 3 = adequate; 4 = good; 5 = very good.

Evaluation grid

| Section | Maximum Score |
|---|---------------|
| 1. Financial and operational capacity | 20 |
| 1.1. Do the applicants and, if applicable, their affiliated entity(ies) have sufficient in-house experience of project management? | 5 |
| 1.2. Do the applicants and, if applicable, their affiliated entity(ies) have sufficient technical in-house expertise (especially knowledge of the issues to be addressed)? | 5 |
| 1.3. Do the applicants and, if applicable, their affiliated entity(ies) have sufficient management in-house capacity (including staff, equipment and ability to handle the budget for the action)? | 5 |
| 1.4. Does the lead applicant have stable and sufficient sources of finance? | 5 |
| 2. Relevance of the action | 20 |
| 2.1. How relevant is the proposal to the objectives and priorities of the call for proposals and to the specific themes/sectors/areas or any other specific requirement stated in the guidelines for applicants? Are the expected results of the action aligned with the priorities defined in the guidelines for applicants (section 1.2)? | 5 |
| 2.2. How relevant is the proposal to the particular needs and constraints of the target country(ies), region(s) and/or relevant sectors (including synergy with other development initiatives and avoidance of duplication)? | 5 |
| 2.3. How clearly defined and strategically chosen are those involved (final beneficiaries, target groups)? Have their needs (as rights holders and/or duty bearers) and constraints been clearly defined and does the proposal address them appropriately? | 5 |
| 2.4. Does the proposal contain particular added-value elements (e.g. innovation, best practices) ? [and the other additional elements indicated under 1.2. of the guidelines for applicants] | 5 |
| 3. Design of the action | 15 |
| 3.1. How coherent is the design of the action? Does the proposal indicate the expected results to be achieved by the action? Does the intervention logic explain the rationale to achieve the expected results? Are the activities proposed appropriate, practical, and consistent with the envisaged outputs and outcome(s)? | 5 |
| 3.2. Does the proposal/Logical Framework include credible baseline, targets and sources of verification? If not, is a baseline study foreseen (and is the study budgeted appropriately in the proposal)? | 5 |
| 3.3. Does the design reflect a robust analysis of the problems involved, and the capacities of the relevant stakeholders? | 5 |
| 4. Implementation approach | 15 |
| 4.1. Is the action plan for implementing the action clear and feasible? Is the timeline realistic? | 5 |
| 4.2. Does the proposal include an effective and efficient monitoring system? Is there an evaluation planned (previous, during or/and at the end of the implementation)? | 5 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 4.3. Is the co-applicant(s)'s and affiliated entity(ies)'s level of involvement and participation in the action satisfactory? | 5 |
| 5. Sustainability of the action | 15 |
| 5.1. Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups? | 5 |
| 5.2. Is the action likely to have multiplier effects, including scope for replication, extension capitalisation on experience and knowledge sharing? | 5 |
| 5.3. Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?- Financially (e.g. financing of follow-up activities, sources of revenue for covering all future operating and maintenance costs)- Institutionally (will structures allow the results of the action to be sustained at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?)- At policy level (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action — e.g. improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods) - Environmentally (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?) | 5 |
| 6. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action | 15 |
| 6.1. Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget? | 5 |
| 6.2. Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory? | 5x2** |
| Maximum total score | 100 |

** : this score is multiplied by 2 because of its importance

If the total score for Section 1 (financial and operational capacity) is less than 12 points, the application will be rejected. If the score for at least one of the subsections under Section 1 is 1, the application will also be rejected.

If the lead applicant applies without co-applicants or affiliated entities the score for point 4.3 shall be 5 unless the involvement of co-applicants or affiliated entities is mandatory according to these guidelines for applicants.

Provisional selection

After the evaluation, a table will be drawn up listing the applications ranked according to their score. The highest scoring applications will be provisionally selected until the available budget for this call for proposals is reached. In addition, a reserve list will be drawn up following the same criteria. This list will be used if more funds become available during the validity period of the reserve list.

(3) STEP 3: VERIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY OF THE APPLICANTS AND AFFILIATED ENTITY(IES)

The eligibility verification will be performed on the basis of the supporting documents requested by the contracting authority (see Section 2.2.1). It will by default only be performed for the applications that have been provisionally selected according to their score and within the available budget for this call for proposals.

- The declaration by the lead applicant (Section 5 of Annex A.2) will be cross-checked with the supporting documents provided by the lead applicant. Any missing supporting document or any incoherence between the declaration by the lead applicant and the supporting documents may lead to the rejection of the application on that sole basis.
- The eligibility of applicants and the affiliated entity(ies) will be verified according to the criteria set out in Section 2.1.1.

Any rejected application will be replaced by the next best placed application on the reserve list that falls within the available budget for this call for proposals.

2.4. SUBMISSION OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

The lead applicant should submit the documents listed in section 2.2.1.

In addition, a lead applicant whose application has been provisionally selected or placed on the reserve list will be informed in writing by the contracting authority. It will be requested to supply the following documents:

1. Declaration on honour: the lead applicant as well as all co-applicants and affiliated entities shall fill in and sign the declaration on honour certifying that they are not in one of the exclusion situations (see Section 2.6.10.1 of the PRAG) where the amount of the grant exceeds EUR 15 000. Please note that the declaration on honour shall be submitted via PROSPECT.

2. Self-evaluation questionnaire on SEA-H: the lead applicant as well as all co-applicants and affiliated entities shall fill in the self-evaluation questionnaire assessing the organisation's internal policy and procedure against sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment (SEA-H) (Annex L) where the amount of grant exceeds EUR 60 000 (see Section 2.5.6 of the PRAG)¹⁸. Please note that the self-evaluation questionnaire on SEA-H shall be submitted via PADOR.

If it is impossible for the lead applicants to supply the abovementioned documents via PROSPECT or PADOR for technical reasons, they must submit the requested supporting documents in a sealed envelope by registered mail, private courier service or by hand-delivery to the postal address described in section 2.2.2 and according to the instructions therein.

After verifying the supporting documents, the evaluation committee will make a final recommendation to the contracting authority, which will decide on the award of grants.

NB: In the eventuality that the contracting authority is not satisfied with the strength, solidity, and guarantee offered by the structural link between one of the applicants and its affiliated entity, it can require the submission of the missing documents allowing for its conversion into co-applicant. If all the missing documents for co-applicants are submitted, and provided all necessary eligibility criteria are fulfilled, the above mentioned entity becomes a co-applicant for all purposes. The lead applicant has to submit the application form revised accordingly.

2.5. NOTIFICATION OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S DECISION

2.5.1. *Content of the decision*

The lead applicants will be informed in writing of the contracting authority's decision concerning their application and, if rejected, the reasons for the negative decision. This letter will be sent by e-mail and will appear online automatically in the PROSPECT profile of the user who submitted the application. Lead applicants who, in exceptional cases (see section 2.2), had to submit their application by post, private courier or hand-delivery will be informed by e-mail or by post if they did not provide any e-mail address. Therefore, please check regularly your PROSPECT profile, taking into account the indicative timetable below. Please note that the lead applicant is the intermediary for all communications between applicants and the contracting authority during the procedure.

An applicant believing that it has been harmed by an error or irregularity during the award process may lodge a complaint. See Section 2.12 of the practical guide.

Applicants and, if they are legal entities, persons who have powers of representation, decision-making or control over them, are informed that, should they be in one of the situations of early detection or exclusion, their personal details (name, given name if natural person, address, legal form and name and given name of the persons with powers of representation, decision-making or control, if legal person) may be registered in

¹⁸ Applicants, co-applicants and affiliated entities who are (i) natural persons (ii) pillar-assessed entities and (iii) governments and other public bodies do not have to submit the self-evaluation questionnaire.

the early detection and exclusion system, and communicated to the persons and entities concerned in relation to the award or the execution of a grant contract.

For more information, you may consult the privacy statement available on http://ec.europa.eu/budget/explained/management/protecting/protect_en.cfm.

2.5.2. Indicative timetable

| | DATE | TIME |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| 1. Information meeting (if any) | 19/07/2022 | 11:00h |
| 2. Deadline for requesting any clarifications from the contracting authority | 26/08/2022 | 16:00h |
| 3. Last date on which clarifications are issued by the contracting authority | 05/09/2022 | - |
| 4. Deadline for submission of applications | 16/09/2022 | 17:00h |
| 5. Information to lead applicants on administrative checks and concept note evaluation (Step 1) | October 2022 | - |
| 6. Information to lead applicants on the evaluation of the full applications (Step 2) | October 2022 | - |
| 7. Notification of award (after the eligibility check) (Step 3) | November 2022 | - |
| 8. Contract signature | November 2022 | - |

All times are in the time zone of the country of the contracting authority.

This indicative timetable refers to provisional dates (except for dates 2, 3, and 4) and may be updated by the contracting authority during the procedure. In such cases, the updated timetable will be published on the web site where the call was published: website of DG International Partnerships https://ec.europa.eu/international-partnerships/home_fr or Funding & Tender opportunities (F&T Portal) <https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/home>

2.6. CONDITIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION AFTER THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S DECISION TO AWARD A GRANT

Following the decision to award a grant, the beneficiary(ies) will be offered a contract based on the standard grant contract (see Annex G of these guidelines). By signing the application form (Annex A of these guidelines), the applicants agree, if awarded a grant, to accept the contractual conditions of the standard grant contract. Where the coordinator is an organisation whose pillars have been positively assessed, it will sign a contribution agreement based on the contribution agreement template. In this case, references to provisions of the standard grant contract and its annexes shall not apply. References in these guidelines to the grant contract shall be understood as references to the relevant provisions of the contribution agreement.

Implementation contracts

Where implementation of the action requires the beneficiary(ies) and its affiliated entity(ies) (if any) to award procurement contracts, those contracts must be awarded in accordance with Annex IV to the standard grant contract.

In this context, a distinction should be made between awarding implementation contracts and subcontracting parts of the action described in the proposal, i.e. the description of the action annexed to the grant contract, such subcontracting being subject to additional restrictions (see the general terms and conditions in the model grant contract).

Awarding implementation contracts: implementation contracts relate to the acquisition by beneficiaries of routine services and/or necessary goods and equipment as part of their project management; they do not cover any outsourcing of tasks forming part of the action that are described in the proposal, i.e. in the description of the action annexed to the grant contract.

Subcontracting: Subcontracting is the implementation, by a third party with which one or more beneficiaries have concluded a procurement contract, of specific tasks forming part of the action as described in annex to the grant contract (see also the general terms and conditions in the model grant contract).

3. LIST OF ANNEXES

DOCUMENTS TO BE COMPLETED

- Annex A: Grant application form (Word format)
A.1 – Concept note
A.2 – Full application form
- Annex B: Budget (Excel format)
- Annex C: Logical framework (Excel format)
- Annex D: Legal entity sheet
- Annex E: Financial identification form
- Annex H: Declaration on Honour
- Annex L: Self-evaluation questionnaire on SEA-H
- Annex F: PADOR registration form (*only if impossible to register in PADOR*)

DOCUMENTS FOR INFORMATION¹⁹

- Annex G: Standard grant contract
- Annex II: general conditions
 - Annex IV: contract award rules
 - Annex V: standard request for payment
 - Annex VI: model narrative and financial report
 - Annex VIII: model financial guarantee
 - Annex IX: standard template for transfer of ownership of assets
- Annex I: Daily allowance rates (per diem), available at the following address:
https://ec.europa.eu/international-partnerships/system/files/per_diem_rates_20191218.pdf
- Annex J: Information on the tax regime applicable to grant contracts signed under the call.
- Annex K: Guidelines for assessing simplified cost options.

Useful links:

Project Cycle Management Guidelines

https://ec.europa.eu/international-partnerships/funding/managing-project_en

The implementation of grant contracts

A Users' Guide

<http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/companion/document.do?nodeNumber=19&locale=en>

Financial Toolkit

https://ec.europa.eu/international-partnerships/financial-management-toolkit_en

Please note: the toolkit is not part of the grant contract and has no legal value. It merely provides general guidance and may in some details differ from the signed grant contract. In order to ensure compliance with their contractual obligations beneficiaries should not exclusively rely on the toolkit but always consult their individual contract documents.

* * *

¹⁹ These documents should also be published by the contracting authority.